



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,085	11/26/2003	Joseph S. Glider	ARC920030081US1	7870
7590		06/23/2009	EXAMINER	
Frederick W. Gibb, III			WEL,ZHENG	
McGinn & Gibb, PLLC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 304			2192	
2568-A Riva Road				
Annapolis, MD 21401				
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
06/23/2009	PAPER			

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

---

*Ex parte:* JOSEPH S. GLIDER and ARCHANA S. SAMTANI

---

Application No. 10/723,085  
Technology Center 2100

---

Mailed: June 22, 2009

---

Before Deborah L. PERRY, *Supervisory Paralegal Specialist, Review Team*  
PERRY, *Supervisory Paralegal Specialist, Review Team.*

**ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER**

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on March 24, 2009. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter requiring attention prior to docketing.

**APPEAL BRIEF, GROUNDS OF REJECTION**

A review of the file finds that the grounds of rejection of the claims as provided in the Appeal Brief filed August 4, 2008 under the heading

“Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal” is unclear and/or is not consistent with the grounds of rejection of claims of record. The grounds of rejection of the claims as provided in the Appeal Brief must be consistent with the last Office action of record, including any Advisory action responsive to any after final submissions. Each Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal must be identified.

A review of the last Office action, including any mailed Advisory Action(s) finds that claims **2-5, 8-11, and 16-19** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112; whereas Appellant(s) have not indicated the grounds of rejection of these claims. Correction of the Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal for all claims is required.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the application is returned to the Examiner:

- 1) to hold the Appeal Brief filed on August 4, 2008 defective; and
- 2) to notify Appellant to file a paper properly addressing the Grounds of rejection of all claims;

DLP/klh

FREDERICK W. GIBB, III  
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC  
SUITE 304  
2568-A RIVA ROAD  
ANNAPOLIS, MD 21401